

# General rules for submission of IPS-NZ award papers

For any paper to be considered for an award, it shall be necessary for the author to submit four copies of the full paper to the Chairman, Awards Committee by a date determined by the Chairman, Awards Committee.

To be eligible for the award, the paper must fulfill each of the following criteria:

- (a) It must be a research paper based on work done in India and must not have been published in a scientific journal nor presented at a National or Inter-national Conference.
- (b) The principal author and at least 50% of all authors and the person presenting the paper must be members of the North Zone, I.P.S.
- (c) The coauthors who are not members of the society are not eligible for receiving cash prize or certificate.

The full papers received for consideration for the Awards shall be considered by a panel of judges appointed by the Chairman, Awards Committee who shall select papers of sufficient merit for final rating at the time of presentation. Out of the papers considered to be of sufficient merit by majority of judges, the Chairman, Awards Committee shall select three papers for each award with the highest pooled percentage scores for presentation at the Annual Conference.

A panel of judges will be appointed by the Chairman, Awards Committee. Out of the total marks, 60% will be for preparation and compilation of the paper and 40% for its presentation at the Conference. The paper with the highest pooled rank order shall be declared the winner, In case of a tie, both the papers will be declared as joint winners.

All the authors of the Award winning papers, who are the members of the IPS, North Zone. shall be considered to have won the award and will be issued certificates and be eligible for the award money as follows:

- (a) 25% of the money shall go to the author presenting the paper.
- (b) The remaining 75% shall be distributed as follows:
  - (i) If there are two authors who are eligible for the award money, 60% to the principal author and 40% to the co-author.
  - (ii) If there are more than two authors who are eligible for the award money, 50% to the principal author and 50% to be equally divided amongst the co-authors.

If in the opinion of a majority of judges, no paper is of high enough merit, there will be no award that year.

No paper shall be eligible to context for an award where a member who has won that award in the immediately preceding year appears as an author or co-author.

None of the judges of the award and none of the members of the Awards Committee shall be contestant for any Award that year.

The assessment of papers by the panel of judges as certified by the Chairman, Awards Committee shall be ratified by the Executive Council of IPS (NZ).

The practical and logistic problems from time to time in assessment process will be dealt with appropriately by the Chairman Awards Committee in consultation with President.

*General rules for submission of IPS-NZ award papers*

No one paper or substantially similar paper shall win more than one award on the basis of presentation at the Annual Conference, in case more than one award is announced at a Conference.

The paper can be considered only for the category for which it has been nominated.

All the papers submitted for awards will be the property of the zone for publication in the Journal of the Society.

The authors should furnish a declaration containing following items at the time of submission.

- (a) The Principal author and 50% of the co-authors are members of society
- (b) This or substantially similar paper has not won an IPS North Zone Award earlier or been submitted for another award this year.
- (c) This or substantially similar paper has not been published or been submitted for publication in any scientific journal.
- (d) Consent from all authors about sub-mission of the paper and a certificate that there is no copy right infringement in the contents of the paper.
- (e) None of the author has won the same awards in the immediate preceding year

## **SPECIFIC GUIDELINES**

### **Dr. A.K. Kala Award**

This award will be given for original research in Biological psychiatry. There is no age bar. Award Money: Rs. 2,500/-.

### **Dr. Buckshey Award**

This award will be given to the paper presented by the member of North Zone IPS who is not above 35 years of Age at the time of presentation. Award Money Rs. 1000/- The paper must be accompanied with proof certifying age of the Principal/Presenting author.

### **Dr. G.C. Boral Award**

There is no age bar to compete for this award. Award Money: Rs.1000/-

Nomination for Bombay Psychiatric Society Silver Jubilee Award (Best Paper of the conference):

All the award papers can compete for the best paper award. The authors, if they desire to compete for this award, should send a declaration about their desire and willingness to compete for the nomination for the BPS award. It may please be noted that the papers submitted for the Awards of IPS-North Zone will not be automatically considered for the nomination for the BPS Award, unless a specific declaration to that effect is provided in writing at the time of submitting the paper or before the last notified date for submission of the award papers.

Free papers (i.e. the papers other than the award papers) can also compete for the best paper award to the nomination for BPS award. For this, the authors of the free paper must submit four copies of the full text of their paper along with the declaration as explained above, to the Chairpersons, Awards Committee. This submission will have to be in addition to the four copies of abstract of the free paper to be submitted to the President.

The last date for sending the full text of the paper with the declaration will be the same as the last date notified for the submission of the Award Papers.

## **GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATION OF AWARD PAPERS**

A panel of judges shall rate the papers. There shall be three judges in each panel. Out of the total numbers i.e. 100, 60% will be for preparation and compilation of the manuscript & 40% for presentation during the conference. The assessment of the written manuscript will be on the following pattern:

<b>Written manuscript evaluation</b>	<b>60 marks</b>
(a) Topic/Title, its relevance and methodology.	12
(b) Survey of literature/reference bibliography.	12
(c) Presentation of results/discussion.	12
(d) Conclusion and how far they are substantiated by the study.	12
(e) Clarity, lucidity, precision of language and over all elegance of paper.	12

<b>Presentation during conference:</b>	<b>40 marks</b>
(a) Style, clarity, compactness of expression and presentation	20
(b) Use of audiovisual aids (if any) appropriateness, quality visibility comprehensibility and novelty	10
(c) Response to points raised in discussion	10

\*In case no paper is found to be of sufficient merit (e"50% marks), there shall be no award.

### **Panel for selection of best paper to be nominated for BPS award**

There will be two panels of three judges, one for evaluation of manuscript and one for presentation, for award papers submitted, and the full papers/manuscripts of the free papers submitted for BPS award.

<b>Presentation during conference</b>	<b>25 marks</b>
(a) Style, clarity, compactness of expression and presentation.	10
(b) Response to points raised in discussion.	10
(c) Use of audiovisual aids, if any Appropriateness, quality, visibility, comprehensibility, and novelty.	5

<b>Written manuscript evaluation</b>	<b>75 marks</b>
(a) Topic Title its relevance and methodology.	15
(b) Survey of literature/reference bibliography.	15
(c) Presentation of results and discussion.	15
(d) Conclusion and how far they are substantiated by the study.	15
(e) Clarity, lucidity, precision of language and over all elegance of paper.	15